

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vitignia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/088,995 03/26/2002 Norio Kashiwa ZU-411 8981 21839 7590 10/15/2004 EXAMINER BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P LU, C CAIXIA POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1713

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/088,995	KASHIWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Caixia Lu	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amounthe shortened statutory period for reply once later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	s Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.	
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelingNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	3.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:	•		
Claim(s) rejected: 8.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11-15.			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
		Carried of	
		Caixia Lu, Ph. D.	
Palent and Trademark Office		Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the same rationale as set forth in the previous Office action mailed on May 4, 2004. Applicant's Declaration filed on September 28, 2004 fails to shown criticality and unexpected results over Markel because applicant's Declaration does not provide any evidence to show the critical difference between applicant's branched polyolefin and those of the cited prior art.